

Daily Meditation 07-10-23

Remedies For a Sick and Diseased and Dangerous Supreme Court

Good morning.

In today's meditation, we continue our concern about the Supreme Court and its recent determinations, practically, all of which, like last year's big one with Dobbs, contradicts majority opinions in America.

Across the board, not only Democrats, Independents, but even Republicans are opposed to some of the heavy-handed decisions rolling out from this particular Supreme Court.

So much of the crisis lies in that phrase that I begin with, of "conflict of interest," and appearances of conflict of interest. I tried to give you some remedies. And I invite you, as listeners, to develop the ones I've proposed and fully come up with others.

This we've all heard. You don't have to have a degree in law to recognize the problem. Because Lady Justice is usually pictured with a blindfold and scales. It's about weighing. Weighing differences, ideas, and the impact they will have. It is meant to be blind. In the sense of conflicts of interest, would not enter into the weighing, into the scaling of things into justice, therefore.

But here we have an absolute litany of conflicts of interest.

The most recent one, which I spelled out in the Saturday daily meditation, from the most recent appointed by the last Republican President, Justice Amy Coney Barrett. It's pretty shocking that she would appear not once, not twice, not three times, not four times, but five times for a group that is a hate group. And she got paid each time. But my understanding is that she did not report all five of her stipends from this group.

That's the minor thing. The important thing is, they appeared again, in support of this Lorie Smith lady from Colorado, who made up the story, about a made-up gay man who supposedly wanted her services as a webmaster. But all of that has been exposed as a lie.

Now is the Supreme Court going to apologize for passing a law based on a fabrication? I wouldn't hold my breath for this Supreme Court to apologize for anything.

Are they going to apologize for invoking Justice Alito, but he was endorsed by all the other five Justices who went along with the Dobbs decision, invoking jurists from 17th century England who cheered-on the burning of witches? Why was that in a document from the Supreme Court in 2022?

Are reporters going to ask him about that? And keep asking and keep asking and ask the other five members who signed off on it: Why would you sign-off a document that invokes witch burners of the 17th century, who weren't even American? They were English witch burners.

There are a lot of questions here that sparked my last point about pushing the media to start investigating and start asking questions, and everyone should be able to ask questions.

We are paying the salaries of these Justices. Let me repeat,, we are paying their salaries.

And they're not judging. They are masquerading as Justices, then what they're doing is passing legislation and aborting. There is that word for aborting legislation that has been passed by much wiser courts, much wiser people, and smarter jurisprudence scholars, than these individuals.

Thank you. We'll see tomorrow.